

Chromatic Polynomial

For a simple graph G and an integer k , denote by $P(G, k)$ the number of k -colorings of the graph G . We call this function the *chromatic polynomial* of G .

1: For a tree T , show that $P(T, k)$ is really a polynomial.

Solution: It is easy to see verify that for any tree T on n vertices, it is really a polynomial:

$$P(T, k) = k \cdot (k - 1)^{n-1}.$$

It can be seen by a greedy coloring.

2: Let G be a graph. What is the smallest k such that $P(G, k) > 0$?

Solution: Observe that $\chi(G)$ is the smallest integer k , for which $P(G, k) > 0$. From definition, it needs to be 0 for smaller k .

The following recursion implies that G is really a polynomial. By G/e we denote the graph obtained by contracting e , i.e. identify the endpoints of e in $G - e$.

Proposition 1. Let G be a graph and let $e = xy$ be an edge of G . Then,

$$P(G, k) = P(G - e, k) - P(G/e, k). \quad (1)$$

3: Prove the proposition.

Solution:

Proof. The number of k -colorings of $G - e$, where x and y are colored differently is $P(G, k)$. The number of k -colorings of $G - e$, where x and y are colored the same equals $P(G/e, k)$. From here we get the relation. \square

4: Find $P(C_5, x)$ using the above recursion.

Solution: For demonstration let us evaluate the chromatic polynomial of C_5 . Notice that $P(C_5, x) = P(P_5, x) - P(C_4, x)$. As P_5 is a tree, we have $P(P_5, x) = x^5 - 4x^4 + 6x^3 - 4x^2 + x$ and with a previous application of the recursion, one can evaluate $P(C_4, x) = x^4 - 4x^3 + 6x^2 - 3x$. And these two give us $P(C_5, x) = x^5 - 5x^4 + 10x^3 - 10x^2 + 4x$.

A *color k -partition* of G is a partition of $V(G)$ on k nonempty disjoint sets

$$V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k,$$

such that V_i is an independent set in G . Note that a color k -partition of G give us immediately a k -coloring of G with all V_i being its color classes. Denote by $a_k(G)$ the number of color k -partitions of G . Recall that $k_{[i]} = k(k - 1) \cdots (k - i + 1)$.

Proposition 2. Let G be a graph on n vertices. Then,

$$P(G, k) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(G) k_{[i]}. \quad (2)$$

5: Prove the proposition.

Solution:

Proof. If the graph G is properly colored with precisely i colors, then color classes comprise a color i -partition, and their number is $a_i(G)$. As there are k available colors, we can assign colors to the color classes of an i -partition on $k_{[i]}$ ways, which is $a_i(G)k_{[i]}$ all together. For the end observe that every proper coloring can be obtained in this way. \square

Proposition 3. Let G be disjoint union of graphs G_1 and G_2 . Then,

$$P(G, k) = P(G_1, k) \cdot P(G_2, k).$$

6: Prove the above proposition.

Solution: This should be obvious as any k -coloring of G_1 and G_2 give a k -coloring of $G_1 \cup G_2$.

Let G be a union of G_1 and G_2 whose intersection is a clique, i.e.

$$G = G_1 \cup G_2 \quad \text{and} \quad G_1 \cap G_2 = K_r.$$

We say G is an r -clique-sum of G_1 and G_2 .

Proposition 4. Let G be a r -clique-sum of graphs G_1 and G_2 . Then,

$$P(G, k) = \frac{P(G_1, k) \cdot P(G_2, k)}{P(K_r, k)}.$$

7: Prove the above proposition.

Solution:

Proof. Observe that every k -coloring the complete graph $G_1 \cap G_2$ can be extended to

$$\frac{P(G_i, k)}{k_{[r]}}$$

coloring of G_i za $i = 1, 2$. Similarly, it can be extended to

$$\frac{P(G, k)}{k_{[r]}}$$

coloring of G_i . So,

$$\frac{P(G, k)}{k_{[r]}} = \frac{P(G_1, k)}{k_{[r]}} \cdot \frac{P(G_2, k)}{k_{[r]}}$$

and since $P(K_r, k) = k_{[r]}$, we promptly obtain the desired result. \square